Structural integrity between executive control and reward regions of the brain predicts body fat percentage in chronic dieters
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ABSTRACT
Failure to maintain a healthy body weight may reflect a long-term imbalance between the executive control and reward systems of the brain. The current study examined whether the anatomical connectivity between these two systems predicted individual variability in achieving a healthy body weight, particularly in chronic dieters. Thirty-six female chronic dieters completed a food-cue reactivity task in the scanner. Two regions-of-interest (ROIs) were defined from the reactivity task: the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), which engages cognitive control and the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), which represents reward value. A white matter tract connecting these two ROIs was identified across participants using diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) and probabilistic tractography. Results showed a negative relationship between body fat percentage and white matter integrity within the identified tract. This suggests that reduced structural integrity between the OFC and IFG may be related to self-regulatory problems for those who chronically diet to control body weight.
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Introduction

Obesity and dieting have increased substantially in recent decades, with many dieters failing to lose weight over long periods of time and becoming chronic dieters (Andreyeva, Long, Henderson, & Grode, 2010). Among these individuals, some go on to gain even more weight after years of dieting (van Strien, Herman, & Verheijden, 2014), thus engaging in behaviors that undermine their goals. A comprehensive review of obesity suggests that not only the heightened reward sensitivity to food cues but failures in self-regulation also play a role in obesity (Volkow, Wang, Tomasi, & Baler, 2013). Similarly, individual differences in achieving or maintaining long-term dieting success may reflect differences in self-regulatory ability (Heatherton, 2011). According to the balance model, such self-regulatory outcomes reflect individual differences in the balance between brain regions involved in executive control, e.g., the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) and regions representing reward value, e.g., the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) (Heatherton & Wagner, 2011). A balance between reward and control is dependent on the communication between the IFG and the OFC (Wagner, Altman, Boswell, Kelley, & Heatherton, 2013), which is reflected in the connectivity between them. Individual differences in the structural integrity of these anatomical pathways may predict the long-term outcome of chronic dieting, such as body fat percentage.

In order to isolate regions of the brain known to be involved in processing the appetitive value of food, we used a food-cue reactivity task to localize the IFG and OFC regions-of-interest (ROIs) using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Reviews of this research indicate that chronic dieters tend to show exaggerated activity to appetitive food cues in both reward regions and regions involved in executive control after being exposed to conditions associated with diet failure in the real world, such as consuming high-calorie food or being depleted in their cognitive control (Kelley, Wagner, & Heatherton, 2015; Volkow et al., 2013). Thus, we used a food-cue reactivity task to localize the extent of the IFG and OFC regions involved in food-cue processing, and we then used these two regions as...
seed masks for probabilistic tractography in a diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) analysis to calculate white matter integrity between these two regions. The aim of this study was to use a multimodal approach to isolate white matter tracts between functionally defined regions of the IFG and OFC. This approach offers a targeted way to examine whether structural integrity of this tract predicts chronic dieters’ variability in body fat percentage. We hypothesized that chronic dieters who had the highest body fat percentage would show lowest structural integrity in the tract connecting the IFG and OFC.

Methods

Forty right-handed female chronic dieters who scored higher than 15 on the Restraint Scale, a measure of chronic dieting (Heatherton, Herman, Polivy, King, & McGree, 1988), were recruited in this study from a large sample of college students. Participants were screened to have no history of metabolic, psychological, or neurological abnormalities. Four participants were excluded due to excessive head movements (more than 3 mms in either x-, y-, or z-direction) during scanning, resulting in a sample size of 36 chronic dieters. Dieters were weighed using a Tanita scale (model TBF-300A Arlington Heights), which has been proven to reliably measure body fat percentage. Among these chronic dieters, mean body fat percentage was 29.6% (S.D = 5.5%; range = 16.6–38.2%) and mean body mass index (BMI) was 23.9 (S.D = 3.1%, range = 17.2–33.7, Table 1).

In order to localize the IFG and the OFC ROIs involved in food-cue processing, we used a food-cue reactivity paradigm as a localizer task. Prior to this localizer task, we used a depleting inhibitory control task, as this task helps elicit robust reward activity (Wagner et al., 2013). In this depleting inhibitory control task, participants were asked to view a 7-min video about Canadian bighorn mountain sheep with a series of distractor words moving from the bottom to the center of the screen in 3 s (40 words in total). Participants were instructed to avoid reading the distractor words and only focus on watching the video. Immediately following the depleting inhibitory control task, participants received the food-cue reactivity task. This task consisted of 90 appetizing food images and another 180 images involving people or natural scenes as control images. Each image was presented for 2000 ms and followed by fixation for another 500 ms in an event-related design. Participants were instructed to make an indoor/outdoor judgment for each image by pressing buttons. This judgment task made participants keep their focus on this task without being aware of our study purpose, which was to localize brain regions responding to food cues.

The fMRI data from the food-cue reactivity task were preprocessed and analyzed with SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, England). The preprocessing procedures included motion correction, realignment, unwarping, normalization to standard space, and 6-mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel smoothing (see the Supplementary Materials for a detailed description of the fMRI scanning parameters). For each participant, a general linear model incorporating task effects and covariates of no interest was computed and convolved with a canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF). Food-versus-control contrast images were created for each participant and then submitted to a random-effect whole-brain analysis, corrected for multiple comparisons to \( p < 0.05 \) with Monte Carlo simulations using AFNI’s AlphaSim (Figure 1(a), Table S1). The IFG and OFC ROIs were defined based on this whole-brain analysis and used as masks (Figure 1(b)) in a two-mask seeding method for DTI probabilistic tractography. The DTI data were preprocessed and analyzed with Diffusion Toolbox in FSL (Behrens et al., 2003). We used a two-mask seeding method to ensure that the tractography maps only included streamlines passing through both seed masks. About 5000 probabilistic tract streamlines were sent from each voxel within both seed masks and these results were normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute standard space. In order to determine a common tractography map among individuals, each individual’s tractography results were binarized and overlaid with all others to create a group-level tract probability map. To make the tractography map robust, it was then thresholded at a
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50% tract probability across all participants in the
standard space (Figure 1(c)). This common tractogra-
phy map was then overlaid on each participant’s
fractional anisotropy (FA) images (a general measure
of white matter integrity or coherence) and FA values
were extracted from all voxels within this tractogra-
phy map. For each participant, an averaged FA score
was calculated to represent white matter integrity.
The participants’ age, BMI, and FA scores were then
taken as independent variables in the multiple
regression analysis with body fat percentage as the
dependent variable, examining whether individual
differences in white matter integrity reflected long-
term self-regulatory success in dieting.

Results
FMRI results from the food-cue reactivity task revealed
that both the IFG and OFC showed greater activity for
food than control images (Figure 1(a), Table S1), consis-
tent with findings from previous studies (Lopez,
Hofmann, Wagner, Kelley, & Heatherton, 2014;
Wagner et al., 2013). The resulting IFG and OFC clusters
from the fMRI analysis were used as seed regions for
the DTI probabilistic tractography (Figure 1(b)). Cross-
subject DTI probabilistic tractography analysis
revealed a robust white matter tract linking the left
IFG to the left OFC (Figure 1(c)). Average FA values
within this tract showed a significantly negative corre-
lation with body fat percentage ($R_{36} = −0.379,
p = 0.023$), indicating that dieters with lower body fat
percentage showed greater white matter integrity
(Figure 1(d)). After controlling for age and BMI, aver-
age FA values within the tract continued to show a
significantly negative correlation with body fat per-
centage (beta = $−0.247$, $t(35) = −2.862$, $p = 0.007$).

General discussion
Our findings support the hypothesis that the struc-
tural integrity between the IFG, a key region in
response inhibition (Aron, 2011) and the OFC, a
region representing subjective reward value of food
(van der Laan, de Ridder, Viergever, & Smeets,
2011), is related to individual differences in body fat percentage, a putative index of long-term success in dieting. Based on the balance model of self-regula-
tion (Heatherton & Wagner, 2011), failures in self-
regulation results from the imbalance between the
executive control and reward regions. Individuals
with reduced white matter integrity within the tract
connecting these regions may have lower efficiency
in the communication between these regions than
those with high integrity (Madden et al., 2012). With

1Additionally, this finding also replicated in an independent sample of 32 chronic dieters taken from a separate study. For details, see the Supplementary Materials.
an inefficient communication between the executive control and reward regions, individuals with reduced integrity may have difficulty in overriding rewarding temptations, leading to a greater chance of becoming obese than those with higher structural integrity.

Although prior studies have used DTI to examine the relationship between obesity and white matter integrity (Kullmann, Schweizer, Veit, Fritsche, & Preisil, 2015), this study is novel in employing a multimodal approach to systematically target a specific tract implicated in regulating food desires. A recent study has shown that both the IFG and the OFC engage in regulating food consumption in daily life (Lopez et al., 2014). Using this fMRI paradigm, we replicated the previous finding and even found that both the IFG and the OFC showed robust activity when chronic dieters were exposed to appetitive food cues, suggesting that the reward and executive control processing may both spontaneously engage during the cue exposure period (Wagner et al., 2013). The food-cue reactivity localizer task offers a more targeted approach to defining IFG and OFC regions than atlas-based masking and ensures that the tractography results were based on functionally relevant regions related to food-cue processing within the same sample. This fMRI localizer task may help researchers identify key white matter tracts relevant to the control of eating behavior.

It remains unclear whether individual differences in the white matter integrity result from repeated dieting. Although a previous study found that repeatedly practicing a task can lead to increased FA in particular fiber tracts (Scholz, Klein, Behrens, & Johansen-Berg, 2009), it is also possible that failures in dieting lead to obesity and obesity-related factors, such as inflammation and dyslipidemia, which may cause alterations in white matter integrity (Shimoji et al., 2013). Future longitudinal studies are needed to determine whether alteration in white matter integrity is caused by repeated practice in dieting or obesity-related factors. Longitudinal studies are also needed to examine the relationship between white matter integrity and body fat percentage in chronic dieters. Although the exclusive recruitment of female dieters may prevent the confounding effect from gender difference, future studies are still needed to compare whether white matter integrity differentially influences the dieting outcomes between male and female dieters.

In conclusion, the current study provides evidence that white matter integrity is related to individual differences in body fat percentage in tracts that connect regions involved in food-cue reactivity. These results are consistent with the balance model of self-regulation and suggest that structural integrity of pathways connecting executive control and reward regions may play a critical role in achieving long-term self-regulatory success in dieting.
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